

HSPI Commentary Series

CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES: TIME FOR A JOINT THREAT ASSESSMENT?

HSPI Commentary 02

April 22, 2009

Sharon Cardash, Frank Cilluffo, and James Jay Carafano

Currently Canada and the United States share information and intelligence; cooperate extensively on law enforcement issues—particularly border-related crime and terrorist travel; and work together to thwart potential air and sea threats. Both countries routinely, and respectively, produce national intelligence assessments that are frequently published in unclassified form so that citizens can gain a better appreciation of their government’s perception of current and emerging national security challenges.

The present landscape, marked by new threats and uncertainties, presents a challenge—but also an opportunity—for Canada and the United States. A joint threat assessment, conducted and published by the two countries, could be a powerful protective tool on both sides of the 49th parallel. It need not—and should not—diminish sovereign capabilities and capacities on either side; to the contrary, it could enhance both.

To date, joint Canada-U.S. counterterrorism efforts have focused largely on the border and, to a lesser extent, on keeping foreign terrorists out of both countries and countering domestic extremists. Emphasis on security has in turn largely crowded out matters of trade and its facilitation. Yet Canada and the United States are each other’s largest and most important trading partner, with cross-border activity generating more than one billion dollars a day. Allowing that trade engine to run as smoothly as possible requires identifying and addressing problems long before they present themselves at the border, as well as adopting efficient yet prudent border security measures. A joint threat assessment would go a long way toward deepening the foundation of mutual understanding upon which the most productive ways forward, for all concerned, may be built.

What might be the scope of such an assessment? At a minimum, it should include:

- An evaluation of the level and nature of “homegrown” radicalization in the two countries as well as overseas;


- An analysis of concerning strategic and tactical developments and trends in the cyber arena;
- An examination of terrorist, organized crime, and other significant bad actors' travel to and between Canada and the United States (to include watch lists);
- An assessment of these actors' exploitation of and threats to the movement of cargo, mail, and both transnational and domestic supply chains; and
- An evaluation of vulnerabilities in the energy sector and infrastructure shared between the two nations, notably the power grid.

As important as the assessment itself is the manner in which it is derived, and the process by which it is shared. Both countries' intelligence and law enforcement services should participate equally. Public hearings could be held in both countries in furtherance of the assessment's goals. Indeed, the process of developing the assessment should be as transparent as possible with publicly available information on how the assessment is being conducted and by whom. The final product should be easily available in unclassified format to citizens in both countries, though this would not preclude a classified treatment of the issues to be disseminated to relevant officials and authorities. Whether created and shared exclusively as an open source document or not, it is important to note that the joint assessment would not, of itself, render other existing threat assessments irrelevant.

A baseline consensus on the threat climate would serve as a solid foundation upon which to extend and adapt the long and storied history of Canada-U.S. cooperation in matters of security and defense, so as to effectively meet and defeat prevailing challenges. Modernizing that relationship for the 21st century and its threats means thinking through, together, the bases for pro-active initiatives that will better safeguard both countries' populations. Properly conceived and implemented, such measures could have the added salutary effect of strengthening the bonds of trust and confidence between and among citizens, and with their government—the very bonds that those with malicious intent seek to damage, if not break.

To be clear, a joint threat assessment need not be a precursor, or tantamount, to common policies. As envisioned, each country would and should retain discretion to tailor its approach to the needs and particular circumstances of both its history, and the future it aspires to shape for itself and its people. Transnational threats may require transnational solutions; but even the newest and deadliest of these challenges can be addressed without compromising the essence of what it means to be “Canadian” or “American” in approach.

***Frank Cilluffo** is the Director, and **Sharon Cardash** is the Associate Director, of The George Washington University Homeland Security Policy Institute (HSPI). **James Jay Carafano**, Ph.D., is Senior Research Fellow for National Security and Homeland Security at The Heritage Foundation, and a 2009 HSPI Senior Fellow.*



HSPI Commentaries are intended to promote better policy by fostering constructive debate among leading policymakers, academics, and observers. Designed to be timely and relevant, HSPI Commentaries seek to illuminate the issues of the day by raising important questions and challenging underpinning assumptions. Opinions expressed in Commentaries are those of the author(s) alone. Comments should be directed to hspi@gwu.edu.

Founded in 2003, The George Washington University Homeland Security Policy Institute (HSPI) is a nonpartisan think and do tank whose mission is to build bridges between theory and practice to advance homeland security through an interdisciplinary approach. By convening domestic and international policymakers and practitioners at all levels of government, the private and non-profit sectors, and academia, HSPI creates innovative strategies and solutions to current and future threats to the nation.